arXiv:1504.00813v1 [math.SP] 3 Apr 2015

NON-CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR RANDOM FIELDS SUBORDINATED TO GAMMA-CORRELATED RANDOM FIELDS

N.N. Leonenko, M.D. Ruiz-Medina and M.S. Taqqu [*][†]

January 11, 2015

Abstract

A reduction theorem is proved for functionals of Gamma-correlated random fields with long-range dependence in d-dimensional space. In the particular case of a non-linear function of a chi-squared random field with Laguerre rank equal to one, we apply the Karhunen-Loéve expansion and the Fredholm determinant formula to obtain the characteristic function of its Rosenblatt-type limit distribution. When the Laguerre rank equals one and two, we obtain the multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integral representation of the limit distribution. In both cases, an infinite series representation in terms of independent random variables is constructed for the limit random variables.

Keywords: Hermite expansion, Laguerre expansion, multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integrals, non-central limit results, reduction theorems, series expansions.

1 Introduction

This paper considers the family of Gamma-correlated random fields within the general class of *Lancaster-Sarmanov random fields*. Such a class includes non-Gaussian random fields with given marginal distributions and given covariance structure. The bivariate densities of these fields have diagonal expansions. Lancaster (1958) and Sarmanov (1963) idenpendently discovered these expansions in the context of Markov processes, namely, for dimension $d = 1$, and correlation function $\gamma(|x-y|) = \exp\left(-c|x-y|\right),\ c > 0$. This line of research was also continued by Wong and Thomas (1962), where Laguerre polynomials were used as well as Hermite and Jacoby polynomials, in Markovian settings. The extension of these limit theorems, based on bilinear expansions, to the context of long-range dependent (LRD) processes was considered in Berman (1982,1984), and also for random fields by Leonenko (1999), Anh and Leonenko (1999) and Anh, Leonenko and Ruiz-Medina (2013), among others. That this class of random fields is not empty follows from the results by Joe (1997), who constructed the system of finite-dimensional distributions for a given bivariate distribution consistent with their marginal distributions, using the calculus of variations and the maximum entropy principle. Some properties of stationary sequences with bivariate densities having diagonal expansions, and their limit theorems were obtained by Gajek and Mielniczuk (1999) and Mielniczuk (2000). Specifically, in Gajek and

---

[*] Correspondence to: M. D. Ruiz-Medina, Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Granada, Campus de Fuente Nueva s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain.

[†] E-mail: `mruiz@ugr.es`

Mielniczuk (1999), long-range dependence sequences $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with exponential marginal distributions and its subordinated sequences are studied. In particular, processes of the form $Z_i = (X_i^2 + Y_i^2)/2$, $i = 1, 2 \ldots$, where $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent copies of a zero-mean stationary Gaussian process with long-range dependence, are investigated. The asymptotic behaviour of a partial-sum process of the long-range-dependent sequence $\{G(Z_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, constructed by subordination from $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, is the same as that of the first nonvanishing term of its Laguerre expansion (see also Taqqu, 1975, 1979, in relation to central and noncentral limit theorems for long-range dependence processes in discrete time). In Mielniczuk (2000), different properties of bivariate densities (not necessarily associated with stochastic processes) are studied in the case where they admit a diagonal expansion, which is referred as Lancaster-Sarmanov expansion, including Mehler's formula for bivariate Gaussian distributions, Myller–Lebedev or Hille–Hardy formula for bivariate Gamma distributions, among others (see, for example, Bateman and Erdelyi, 1953, Chapter 10). In particular, Mehler's equality and Gebelein's inequality are generalized. In addition, conditions are established for defining long-range dependence sequences satisfying the reduction principle, by subordination to discrete time stationary processes. The present paper extends these results to the general setting of random fields with continuous d-dimensional parameter space, defined by a regular compact domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, a reduction theorem is derived for Gamma-correlated random fields with long-range dependence. Some noncentral limit results are established for long-range dependence random fields constructed by subordination from chi-squared random fields, in the cases of function G having Laguerre rank equal to one and two. We will pursue in more details the chi-squared random field case where an explicit representation of the random field is available.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the Lancaster-Sarmanov fields. In Section 3, we consider the case of Gamma and chi-squared random fields. In Section 4, we prove the reduction principle for Gamma-correlated random fields. In Section 5, limit theorems are obtained for the case of functions of chi-squared random fields with Laguerre rank equal to one and two. We give a multiple Wiener-It$\hat{o}$ stochastic integral representation of the limits. Infinite series representations of the limits obtained in Section 5 are obtained in Section 6. We establish infinite divisibility in Section 7.

2 The Lancaster-Sarmanov random fields

We now introduce here the class of Lancaster-Sarmanov random fields with given one-dimensional marginal distributions and general covariance structure. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ the Hilbert space of zero-mean second-order random variables defined on the complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. For a probability density function p on the interval (l, r), with $-\infty \leq l < r \leq \infty$, we consider the Hilbert space $L^2((l, r),\ p(u)du)$ of equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions $h : (l, r) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\int_l^r h^2(u)\ p(u)\ du < \infty, \quad p(u) \geq 0.$$

Let us also consider a complete orthonormal system $\{e_k(u)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of functions in $L^2((l,r),\ p(u)du)$, that is,

$$\int_l^r e_k(u)\ e_m(u)\ p(u)du = \delta_{k,m}, \tag{1}$$

where $\delta_{k,m}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. We introduce the following condition:

Condition A0 Let $\{\xi(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a mean-square continuous zero-mean homogeneous isotropic random field with correlation function

$$\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}\|) = \frac{B(\|\mathbf{x}\|)}{B(0)}, \quad B(\|\mathbf{x}\|) = \mathrm{Cov}(\xi(0), \xi(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We assume that the densities

$$\begin{aligned} p(u) &= \frac{d}{du} P\{\xi(\mathbf{x}) \le u\},\ u \in (l,r), \\ p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial w} P\{\xi(\mathbf{x}) \le u,\ \xi(\mathbf{y}) \le w\}, \quad (u,w) \in (l,r)\times(l,r), \end{aligned}$$

exist, and that the bilinear expansion

$$p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) = p(u)\ p(w)\ \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)\ e_k(u)\ e_k(w)\right) \tag{2}$$

holds, where

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{2k}(\|\mathbf{x}\|) < \infty, \quad \forall\, \|\mathbf{x}\| > 0,$$

and $\{e_k(u)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is, as before, a complete orthonornal system in the Hilbert space $L^2((l,r), p(u)du)$. Assume also that $e_0(u) \equiv 1$. The symmetric kernel

$$K(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) = \frac{p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}{p(u)p(w)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) e_k(u) e_k(w) \tag{3}$$

plays an important role.

The series (2) converges in the mean-square sense if the integral

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= \int_l^r \int_l^r K^2(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) p(u) p(w) du dw \\ &= \int_l^r \int_l^r K^2(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) dP\left\{\xi(\mathbf{x}) \le u\right\} dP\left\{\xi(\mathbf{y}) \le w\right\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $I^2 - 1$ is known as the Pearson functional for the bivariate density $p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}\|)$ (see, for example, Lancaster, 1963). Then, the symmetric kernel $K(u,w)$ belongs to the product space $L^2((l,r)\times(l,r), p\otimes p(u,w)dudw)$ of square integrable functions on $(l,r)\times(l,r)$, with respect to the measure $p \otimes p(u,w)dudw$. Thus, the kernel K defines an integral Hilbert-Schmidt operator on the space $L^2((l,r), p(u)du)$. From the spectral theorem for compact and self-adjoint operators (see, for example, Dautray and Lions, 1985, p.112), for each $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the kernel K admits the diagonal spectral expansion

$$K(u,w) = \frac{p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}{p(u)\ p(w)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) e_k(u) e_k(w), \tag{4}$$

where convergence holds in the space $L^2((l,r)\times(l,r), p\otimes p(u,w)dudw)$. Here, $r_k\left(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|\right)$ is the sequence of eigenvalues, associated with the orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $\{e_k(u)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, which could also depend on $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in a general setting.

Thus, **Condition A0** postulates the expansion (4) for the case where

$$r_k(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|),$$

and $e_k(u)$ does not depend on $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$. **Condition A0** then implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}[e_k(\xi(\mathbf{x}))] = \int_l^r e_k(u)p(u)du = 0, \quad k \geq 1 \\
&\mathrm{E}[e_n(\xi(\mathbf{x}))e_m(\xi(\mathbf{y}))] = \int_l^r \int_l^r e_n(u)e_m(w)p(u,w,\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)dudw \\
&= \int_l^r \int_l^r e_n(u)e_m(w)p(u)p(w)\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)e_k(u)e_k(w)\right)dudw \\
&= \delta_{n,m}\ \gamma^n(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|), \quad n,m \geq 1. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

We will call the random fields satisfying **Condition A0** *Lancaster-Sarmanov random fields*, due to Lancaster (1958) and Sarmanov (1963). In the next section, we will refer to the special case of Gamma-correlated random fields, and, in particular, to the case of chi-squared random fields. We will also let (l,r) in (1) be $(0,\infty)$.

3 Gamma-correlated random fields

In this paper all random fields considered are assumed to be measurable and mean-square continuous. We refer to the class of random fields with Gamma marginal distribution and given correlation function. For details see Berman (1982,1984), Leonenko (1999), Anh, Leonenko and Ruiz-Medina (2013), among others. Following the ideas of Lancaster (1958) and Sarmanov (1963), we introduce a homogeneous and isotropic random field $\{\xi(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$, with given one-dimensional Gamma distributions, and given correlation structure $\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) = \mathrm{Corr}\left(\xi(\mathbf{x}),\xi(\mathbf{y})\right)$, $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Let

$$p_\beta(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} u^{\beta-1}\exp(-u), \quad u>0, \quad \beta>0, \tag{6}$$

be a Gamma density, and let $L^2((0,\infty), p_\beta(u)du)$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions with respect to the measure $p_\beta(u)du$, i.e., the space of functions F such that

$$\int_0^\infty F^2(u)p_\beta(u)du < \infty. \tag{7}$$

An orthogonal basis of the Hilbert space $L^2((0,\infty), p_\beta(u)du)$ can be constructed from generalized Laguerre polynomials $L_k^{(\beta)}$, $k \geq 0$, of index β (see Bateman and Erdelyi, 1953). Specifically, its elements are defined as follows: For $k, m \geq 0$,

$$e_k(u) = e_k^{(\beta)}(u) = L_k^{(\beta-1)}(u) \left[\frac{k!\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+k)}\right]^{1/2}, \quad \int_0^\infty e_k^{(\beta)}(u)\ e_m^{(\beta)}(u)\ p_\beta(u)du = \delta_{k,m}, \tag{8}$$

where by Rodríguez formula for Laguerre polynomials

$$L_k^{(\beta)} = L_k^{(\beta)}(u) = (k!)^{-1} u^{-\beta} \exp(u) \frac{d^k}{du^k} \left\{\exp(-u) u^{\beta+k}\right\}. \tag{9}$$

The first three polynomials are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^{(\beta)}(u) &\equiv 1, \quad e_1^{(\beta)}(u) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta}}\,(\beta - u) \\ e_2^{(\beta)}(u) &= \left(u^2 - 2(\beta+1)\ u + (\beta+1)\beta\right)\ \left[2(\beta+1)\beta\right]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Applying Myller-Lebedev or Hille-Hardy formula (see Bateman and Erdelyi, 1953, Chapter 10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_\beta(u, w, \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) &= p_\beta(u)\ p_\beta(w) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)\ e_k^{(\beta)}(u)\ e_k^{(\beta)}(w)\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{uw}{\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}\right)^{(\beta-1)/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{u+w}{1-\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}\right\} \\ &\times\ I_{\beta-1}\left(2\frac{\sqrt{uw\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}}{1-\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)}\right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)\ (1-\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|))}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)$ is a continuous non-negative definite kernel on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, depending on $\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|$, and $I_\varrho(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order ϱ, with

$$I_\varrho(z) = \frac{(z/2)^\varrho}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\varrho + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{\varrho-1/2} \exp(zt)dt, \quad z > 0.$$

Summarizing, one can define a homogeneous and isotropic gamma-correlated random field as a random field $\left\{\xi(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\right\}$, such that its one dimensional densities

$$\frac{d}{du} P\left[\xi(\mathbf{x}) \leq u\right]$$

and two-dimensional densities

$$p\left(u, w, \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|\right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial w} P\left[\xi(\mathbf{x}) \leq u, \xi(\mathbf{y}) \leq w\right]$$

are defined by (6) and (11), respectively. In addition, the correlation function γ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{2k}(\|\mathbf{z}\|) < \infty, \quad \|\mathbf{z}\| > 0.$$

From equation (7), $F(u)$ can be expanded into the series

$$F(u)=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}C_q^L e_q^{(\beta)}(u),\quad C_q^L=\int_0^{\infty}F(u)\ e_q^{(\beta)}(u)p_\beta(u)\ du,\quad q=0,1,2,\dots,\tag{12}$$

which converges in the Hilbert space $L_2((0,\infty),p_\beta(u)du)$. In particular,

$$C_0^L=\int_0^{\infty}F(u)e_0^{(\beta)}(u)p_\beta(u)\ du=\mathrm{E}[F(\xi(\mathbf{x}))].\tag{13}$$

The *Laguerre rank* of the function F is defined as the smallest $k\geq 1$ such that

$$C_1^L=0,\dots,C_{k-1}^L=0,\ C_k^L\neq 0.$$

From equation (11), for a homogeneous and isotropic Gamma-correlated random field $\{\xi(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$, with correlation function γ, the following identities hold:

$$E[e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))]=0,\quad E[e_m^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{y}))]=\delta_{m,k}\gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|).\tag{14}$$

In order to introduce long-range dependence for Gamma-correlated random fields, we assume the following condition:

Condition A1. The non-negative definite function

$$\gamma(\|\mathbf{z}\|)=\frac{\mathcal{L}(\|\mathbf{z}\|)}{\|\mathbf{z}\|^{\delta}},\quad \mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^d,\quad 0<\delta<d,\tag{15}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a slowly varying function at infinity.

3.1 The chi-squared random fields

One can construct examples of random fields with marginal density (6) and bivariate probability density (11) considering the class of chi-squared random fields. The chi-squared random fields are given by

$$\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2}\left(Y_1^2(\mathbf{x})+\cdots+Y_r^2(\mathbf{x})\right),\quad \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d,\tag{16}$$

where $Y_1(\mathbf{x}),\dots,Y_r(\mathbf{x})$ are independent copies of Gaussian random field $\{Y(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$ with covariance function $B(\|\mathbf{x}\|)$ with $B(\|\mathbf{0}\|)=1$. In this case

$$\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)=\frac{\mathrm{Cov}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}),\chi_r^2(\mathbf{y}))}{\mathrm{Var}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{0}))}=B^2(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|),\quad \beta=r/2.\tag{17}$$

Note that by construction, the correlation function of chi-squared random fields is always non-negative. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{E}\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})=\frac{r}{2},\quad \mathrm{Var}\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})=\frac{r}{4}\mathrm{Var}\ Y_1^2(\mathbf{x})=\frac{r}{2},\quad \mathrm{Cov}(\chi_r^2(0),\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))=\frac{r}{2}B^2(\|\mathbf{x}\|).$$

and

$$\mathrm{E}[e_k^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\ e_m^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{y}))]=\delta_{m,k}\ B^{2m}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|),\tag{18}$$

since as noted in (1),

$$\int_0^\infty e_k^{(r/2)}(u)\ e_m^{(r/2)}(u)\ p_{r/2}(u)\ du = \delta_{k,m}.$$

In the case of chi-squared random fields (16) the analogous of **Condition A1** setting in (15) is the following **Condition A2**.

Condition A2. The random field $\{Y(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d\}$, whose independent copies define the chi-squared random field (16), is a measurable zero-mean Gaussian homogeneous and isotropic mean-square continuous random field on a probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{A},P)$, with $\mathrm{E}Y^2(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, for all $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$, and correlation function $\mathrm{E}[Y(\mathbf{x})Y(\mathbf{y})] = B(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)$ of the form:

$$B(\|\mathbf{z}\|) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\|\mathbf{z}\|)}{\|\mathbf{z}\|^\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0<\alpha<d/2. \tag{19}$$

From **Condition A2**, the correlation function B of Y is continuous. It then follows that $\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{O}(r^\alpha)$, $r\longrightarrow 0$.

4 Reduction principle for Gamma-correlated random fields

The following reduction principle is an analogous in spirit to the reduction principle of Taqqu (1975, 1979); for Gamma-correlated random fields, see also Berman (1982, 1984), Leonenko (1999), among others.

From equation (14),

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))e_m^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{y}))\ d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right] = \delta_{k,m}\ \sigma_k^2(T), \tag{20}$$

where $\mathcal{D}\,(T)$ denotes a homothetic transformation of a set $\mathcal{D}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ with center at the point $\mathbf{0}\in\mathcal{D}$ and coefficient or scale factor $T>0$. In addition, $\mathcal{D}$ is assumed to be a regular compact domain, whose interior has positive Lebesgue measure, and with boundary having null Lebesgue measure. Dirichlet-regularity here is understood in the general setting established, for example, by Fuglede (2005, p. 253), as given in the following definition.

Definition 1 *For a connected bounded open domain $\mathcal{D}$ with boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ we say that $\mathbf{x}_0\in\partial\mathcal{D}$ is regular if and only if it has a Green kernel $G^{\mathcal{D}}$ such that, for each $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{D}$,*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x}\to\mathbf{x}_0} G^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{D}. \tag{21}$$

The set $\mathcal{D}$ is regular if every point of $\partial\mathcal{D}$ is regular.

Dirichlet regularity of domain $\mathcal{D}$ ensures that the eigenvectors of the operator K_α, introduced in equation (37) below, vanish continuously in the boundary of domain $\mathcal{D}$ (see, for example, Brelot, 1960, p. 137 and Theorem 32, in the context of potential theory, and, more recently, Chen *et al.*, 2012, p.484, for $0<\alpha<2$, in the context of subordinate processes in domains).

In equation (20), under **Condition A1**, for $0 < \delta < d/k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k^2(T) &= \mathrm{Var}\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x}\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \gamma^k\left(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|\right) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} = [a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})]^2 T^{2d-k\delta}\mathcal{L}^k(T)(1+o(1)), \quad (22)\end{aligned}$$

as $T \longrightarrow \infty$, with

$$a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D}) = \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{k\delta}} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right]^{1/2}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (23)$$

Note that, for the particular case of chi-squared random fields we have from (18)

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))e_m(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{y}))\ d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right] = \delta_{k,m}\ \sigma_k^2(T),$$

where, under **Condition A2**, for $0 < \alpha < \frac{d}{2k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k^2(T) &= \mathrm{Var}\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\ d\mathbf{x}\right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} B^{2k}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} = [a_{d,k}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2 T^{2d-2k\alpha}\mathcal{L}^{2k}(T)(1+o(1)),\end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

as $T \longrightarrow \infty$, with

$$a_{d,k}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D}) = \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2k\alpha}} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right]^{1/2}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (25)$$

The following theorem states the reduction principle.

Theorem 1 *Let $\{\xi(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a Gamma-correlated random field. Assume that **Condition A1** holds, and that the function $F \in L^2((0,\infty), p_\beta(u)du)$ has generalized Laguerre rank equal to k, where $p_\beta(u)$ is defined by (6). If the limiting distribution of the functional*

$$S_T^L = \frac{1}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} F(\xi(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} - C_0^L T^d|\mathcal{D}|\right], \quad (26)$$

for $0 < \delta < d/k$, exists as $T \longrightarrow \infty$, then it coincides with the limit distribution of the random variable

$$\frac{C_k^L}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))\ d\mathbf{x}.$$

The constants C_k^L and C_0^L are defined in equations (12) and (13), respectively.

Proof. The proof is based on the generalized Laguerre polynomial expansion of the function F. Specifically, under **Condition A1**, since $\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}\|) \leq 1$, and $\gamma(0) = 1$, we have

$$\gamma^{k+l}(\|\mathbf{x}\|) \leq \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}\|), \quad l \geq 2.$$

Hence, from equation (22), for T sufficiently large,

$$E\left[\frac{1}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} F(\xi(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x} - C_0^L T^d\,|\mathcal{D}| - C_k^L \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_k^{(\beta)}(\xi(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x}\right)\right]^2 \leq$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\right]^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (C_j^L)^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \gamma^j(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \leq$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\right]^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (C_j^L)^2 = K_R.$$

By **Condition A1**, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $A_0 > 0$, such that for$\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\| > A_0$, $\gamma(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) < \epsilon$. Let $K_1 = \{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(T) : \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\| \leq A_0)\}$, and $K_2 = \{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(T) : \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\| > A_0)\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} &= \left\{\int\int_{K_1} + \int\int_{K_2}\right\}\gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \\ &= S_T^{(1)} + S_T^{(2)}. \qquad (27)\end{aligned}$$

Using the bound $\gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) \leq 1$ on K_1, and the bound $\gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|) < \epsilon\gamma^k(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)$ on K_2, we obtain, again, for T sufficiently large,

$$\left|S_T^{(1)}\right| \leq \left|\int\int_{K_1} \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right| \leq M_1 T^d,$$

for a suitable constant $M_1 > 0$, and

$$\left|S_T^{(2)}\right| \leq \left|\int\int_{K_2} \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right| \leq \epsilon\left|\int\int_{K_2} \gamma^{k}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y}\right| \leq \epsilon M_2 T^{2d-k\delta}\mathcal{L}^k(T),$$

for suitable $M_2 > 0$, and arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}K_R &= \left[\frac{1}{a_{d,k}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^{k/2}(T)T^{d-(k\delta)/2}}\right]^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \gamma^{k+1}(\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (C_j^L)^2 \\ &\leq M_1 \vee M_2 \left[\frac{T^d}{a_{d,k}^2(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^k(T)T^{2d-k\delta}} + \epsilon\frac{T^{2d-k\delta}\mathcal{L}^k(T)}{a_{d,k}^2(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^k(T)T^{2d-k\delta}}\right], \qquad (28)\end{aligned}$$

which can be made arbitrary small together with $\epsilon > 0$.

■

The following additional condition is assumed for the slowly varying function $\mathcal{L}$ in Theorem 2 below.

Condition A3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the slowly varying function introduced in **Condition A2**. Assume that, for every $m \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \dots (m) \dots \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|^{\delta}} \frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3\|^{\delta}} \cdots \frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_1\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)\|\mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_1\|^{\delta}} d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_m \leq$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathcal{D}} \dots (m) \dots \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_m}{\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|^{\delta}\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3\|^{\delta} \cdots \|\mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_1\|^{\delta}}.$$

Condition A3 is satisfied by slowly varying functions such that

$$\sup_{T, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)} \leq C_0, \tag{29}$$

for $0 < C_0 \leq 1$. This condition holds, for example, for logarithmic type slowly varying functions $\mathcal{L}(\|\mathbf{x}\|) = \log(C + \|\mathbf{x}\|)$, $C > 0$, in the case where $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0})$, with $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}$.

Note that

$$B(\|\mathbf{z}\|) = \frac{1}{(1 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^{\beta})^{\gamma}}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 2, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

is a particular case of the family of covariance functions (19) studied here, satisfying **Condition A3**, with $\alpha = \beta\gamma$, and $\mathcal{L}(\|\mathbf{z}\|) = \|\mathbf{z}\|^{\beta\gamma}/(1 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^{\beta})^{\gamma}$.

The next result involves chi-squared random fields. It provides the limit in distribution of

$$\frac{1}{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}(T)T^{d-\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_1^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x}, \tag{30}$$

and more generally, in view of Reduction Theorem 1, of $e_1^{r/2}$ being replaced by a function F with Laguerre rank 1.

Theorem 2 *Let $\{\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be the chi-squared random field introduced in (16), and consider the functional*

$$S_T^{\chi_r^2} = \frac{1}{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}(T)T^{d-\alpha}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} F(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} - C_0^L T^d |\mathcal{D}| \right], \tag{31}$$

*where $a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})$ is given in (25) for $k = 1$. For $0 < \alpha < d/2$, under **Conditions A2 and A3**, its limit, in distribution sense, $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$, in the case of F having Laguerre rank $k = 1$, has characteristic function of the form*

$$\phi(z) = \mathrm{E}\exp\{izS_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}\} = \exp\left(\frac{r}{2} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-2iz/\sqrt{2r})^m}{m} c_m \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{32}$$

where c_m, $m \geq 2$, are defined as follows:

$$c_m = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \underset{(m)}{\cdots} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_3\|^{\alpha}} \cdots \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_1\|^{\alpha}} d\mathbf{x}_1 \dots d\mathbf{x}_m. \tag{33}$$

Remark 1 *Note that, from Theorem 1, applied to the particular case of chi-squared random fields with $k = 1$,*

$$S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2} = \lim_{T \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{C_1^L}{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}(T)T^{d-\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_1^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x}. \tag{34}$$

Proof. From Remark 1 (see equation (17) and Theorem 1), the limit distribution of $S_T^{\chi_r^2}$ as $T \to \infty$, if it exists, can be obtained as the limit in distribution given in (34), since F has Laguerre rank k equal to one.

The first Laguerre polynomial of the chi-square random field $\{\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is the sum of r independent copies of the second Hermite polynomial of the original Gaussian random field $\{Y(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ involved, that is, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have by (10),

$$e_1^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}}\left(\frac{r}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} Y_j^2(\mathbf{x})\right) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(Y_j^2(\mathbf{x}) - 1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}}\sum_{j=1}^{r} H_2(Y_j(\mathbf{x})). \tag{35}$$

From equation (35), one can prove, in a similar way to Theorem 3.2 by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), that the limit characteristic function admits the expansion (32). Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_T(z) &= E\left[\exp\left(\frac{iz}{T^{d-\alpha}\mathcal{L}(T)a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}}\sum_{j=1}^{r} H_2(Y_j(\mathbf{x}))\right) d\mathbf{x}\right)\right] \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{-2iz}{\sqrt{2r}a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})T^{d-\alpha}\mathcal{L}(T)}\right)^m \mathrm{Tr}\left(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)}^m\right)\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{r}{2}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{-2iz}{\sqrt{2r}a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})T^{d-\alpha}\mathcal{L}(T)}\right)^m \mathrm{Tr}\left(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)}^m\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Note that under **Condition A2**, since $EY^2(\mathbf{x}) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} E\left[Y^2(\mathbf{x})\right] d\mathbf{x} = E\left[\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} Y^2(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{j,T}(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)})E\eta_j^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{j,T}(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the study of the convergence of the series (36), to apply Dominated Convergence Theorem, we use Theorem 3.1 by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), where it is proved that, for $0 < \alpha < d/2$, the squared $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^2$ of the operator

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^\alpha} f(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, \quad \forall f \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{K}_\alpha), \quad 0 < \alpha < d, \tag{37}$$

is in the trace class. In particular, its trace is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_\alpha^2\right) = \int_{\mathcal{D}}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2\alpha}} d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} = [a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2 < \infty. \tag{38}$$

From the Definition of the Fredholm determinant of a trace operator (see, for example, Simon, 2005, Chapter 5, pp.47-48, equation (5.12)) the Fredholm determinant of $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}$ is given by

$$D_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}}(\omega)=\det(I-\omega\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2})=\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2k}}{k}\omega^{k}\right)=\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}[\lambda_{l}(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2})]^{k}\frac{\omega^{k}}{k}\right),\quad (39)$$

for $\omega\in\mathbb{C}$, and $|\omega|\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_{1}<1$, with $\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_{1}$ denoting the trace norm of operator $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}$. In particular, for $\omega=2\mathrm{i}z$, and for $|z|<\frac{1}{2\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_{1}}$,

$$[D_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}}(2\mathrm{i}z)]^{-1/2}=\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2k}}{k}(2\mathrm{i}z)^{k}\right)<\infty. \quad (40)$$

In addition, under **Condition A3**, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{d_{T}^{2}}\mathrm{Tr}\left(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)}^{2}\right) &= \int_{\mathcal{D}}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)}\frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\|)}{\mathcal{L}(T)}\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|^{2\alpha}}d\mathbf{x}_{1}d\mathbf{x}_{2}\\ &\leq C\int_{\mathcal{D}}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|^{2\alpha}}d\mathbf{x}_{1}d\mathbf{x}_{2}=C\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\right)<\infty, \qquad (41)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{d_{T}^{m}}\mathrm{Tr}\left(R_{Y,\mathcal{D}(T)}^{m}\right)=\\ &=\frac{1}{[\mathcal{L}(T)]^{m}}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\underset{(m)}{\cdots}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|)}{\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|^{\alpha}}\frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{3}\|)}{\|\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{3}\|^{\alpha}}\cdots\frac{\mathcal{L}(T\|\mathbf{x}_{m}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\|)}{\|\mathbf{x}_{m}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\|^{\alpha}}d\mathbf{x}_{1}\dots d\mathbf{x}_{m}\\ &\leq C\int_{\mathcal{D}}\underset{(m)}{\cdots}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\|^{\alpha}}\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{3}\|^{\alpha}}\cdots\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}_{m}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\|^{\alpha}}d\mathbf{x}_{1}\dots d\mathbf{x}_{m}\\ &=C\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{m}\right)<\infty,\quad m>2, \qquad (42)\end{aligned}$$

since $\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{m}\|_{1}\leq K\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_{1}$, $K>0$, for $m>2$. Here, $d_{T}=a_{d,1}^{\chi_{r}^{2}}(\mathcal{D})T^{d-\alpha}\mathcal{L}(T)$.

From equations (36) and (40)-(42), for $0<z<\sqrt{r/2}\wedge\sqrt{r/\left(2\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_{1}^{2}\right)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_{T}(z)| &\leq \left|\exp\left(\frac{rC}{2}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(-2\mathrm{i}z/\sqrt{2r}\right)^{m}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{m}\right)\right)\right|\\ &= \left|\exp\left(\frac{rC}{2}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2m}\left(-2\mathrm{i}z/\sqrt{2r}\right)^{2m}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2m}\right)\right.\right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left.\left.+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2m+1}\left(-2\mathrm{i}z/\sqrt{2r}\right)^{2m+1}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2m+1}\right)\right]\right)\right|\\ &\leq \left|\exp\left(\frac{rC}{2}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(-2\mathrm{i}z/\sqrt{2r}\right)^{m}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2m}\right)\right.\right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left.\left.+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m}\left(-2\mathrm{i}z/\sqrt{2r}\right)^{m}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2m}\right)\right]\right)\right|=\left|D_{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}}\left(\frac{-2\mathrm{i}z}{\sqrt{2r}}\right)\right|^{-rC}<\infty, \quad (43)\end{aligned}$$

where the last identity in (43) is obtained from the definition of the Fredholm determinant of $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}$ as given in equation (39).

We can thus apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to obtain $\lim_{T\to\infty}\psi_T(z) = \psi(z)$, for $0 < z < \sqrt{r/2} \wedge \sqrt{r/\left(2\|\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{2}\|_1^2\right)}$. An analytic continuation argument (see Lukacs, 1970, Th. 7.1.1) guarantees that ψ defines the unique limit characteristic function for all real values of z.

■

5 Limit theorems for Laguerre rank equal to one and two and Wiener-It$\hat{o}$ stochastic integral representations

Consider the chi-squared field defined in (16). The multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integral representation of the limit in distribution of the functional (31), and of the functional

$$S_{2,T} = \frac{1}{a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^2(T)T^{d-2\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_2^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x} \tag{44}$$

is derived in Theorems 3 and 4 below, respectively. Here, $a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}$ is defined as in (25) for $k = 2$, and $\mathcal{L}(T)$ is the slowly varying function introduced in (19). The basis function $e_2^{r/2}(u)$ is defined in Relation (2). In the Section 6, from the multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integral representations derived in this section, we obtain an infinite series representation, in terms of independent random variables, for $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$, with characteristic function given in Theorem 2, and for the random variable obtained as the limit in distribution of the functional (44) in Theorem 4 below.

The slowly varying function $\mathcal{L}$ in (19) is assumed to belong to the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{LC}}$ which is now introduced (see Definition 9 by Leonenko and Olenko, 2013).

Definition 2 *An infinitely differentiable function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ belongs to the class $\widetilde{\mathcal{LC}}$ if*

1. *for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0(\delta) > 0$ such that $\lambda^{-\delta}\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is decreasing and $\lambda^{\delta}\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is increasing if $\lambda > \lambda_0(\delta)$;*
2. *$\mathcal{L}_j \in \mathcal{SL}$, for all $j \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{L}_0(\lambda) := \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_{j+1}(\lambda) := \lambda\mathcal{L}_j'(\lambda)$, with $\mathcal{SL}$ being the class of functions that are slowly varying at infinity and bounded on each finite interval.*

The following lemma will be applied in the proofs of Theorem 3 and 4 below (see Theorem 11 by Leonenko and Olenko, 2013).

Lemma 1 *Let $\alpha \in (0, d)$, $S \in C^{\infty}(s_{n-1}(1))$, and $\mathcal{L} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{LC}}$. Let $\{X(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a mean-square continuous homogeneous random field with zero mean. Let the field X has spectral density $f(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which is infinitely differentiable for all $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. If the covariance function $B(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, of the field X has the following behavior*

(a) $\|\mathbf{x}\|^{\alpha}B(\mathbf{x}) \sim S\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\right)\mathcal{L}(\|\mathbf{x}\|), \quad \mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \infty,$

the spectral density satisfies the condition *(b)* $\|\mathbf{u}\|^{d-\alpha} f(\mathbf{u}) \sim \widetilde{S}_{\alpha,d}\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}\right)\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}\right), \quad \|\mathbf{u}\| \longrightarrow 0.$

In Propositions 1–2 and Theorems 3–4 below, the following Fourier transforms and convolution formulae will be applied in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, whose derivatives remain bounded when multiplied by polynomials, i.e., whose derivatives are rapidly decreasing (see Lemma 1 of Stein, 1970, p.117).

Lemma 2 *(i) The Fourier transform of the function* $\|\mathbf{z}\|^{-d+\beta}$ *is* $\nu(\beta)\|\mathbf{z}\|^{-\beta}$, *in the sense that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbf{z}\|^{-d+\beta}\overline{\psi(\mathbf{z})}d\mathbf{z} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\beta)\|\mathbf{z}\|^{-\beta}\overline{\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\mathbf{z})}d\mathbf{z}, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{45}$$

where

$$\nu(\beta) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}2^{\beta}\Gamma(\beta/2)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\beta}{2}\right)}, \quad 0 < \beta < d, \tag{46}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\left\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}\right\rangle\right)\psi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$$

denotes the Fourier transform of ψ.

(ii) The identity $\mathcal{F}\left((-\Delta)^{-\beta/2}(f)\right)(\mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|^{-\beta}\mathcal{F}(f)(\mathbf{z})$ *holds in the sense that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-\Delta)^{-\beta/2}(f)(\mathbf{x})\overline{g(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}(f)(\mathbf{x})\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-\beta}\overline{\mathcal{F}(g)(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{47}$$

for $0 < \beta < d$.

(iii) The following convolution formula is obtained by iteration of (47)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\nu(4\beta)}\|\mathbf{z}\|^{-d+4\beta}\overline{f(\mathbf{z})}d\mathbf{z} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbf{z}\|^{-4\beta}\overline{\mathcal{F}(f)(\mathbf{z})}d\mathbf{z} \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{[\nu(\beta)]^4}&\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}_1\|^{-d+\beta}\|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2\|^{-d+\beta}\|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{y}\|^{-d+\beta}\|\mathbf{y}\|^{-d+\beta}d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{y}\right] \\ &\times\overline{f(\mathbf{z})}d\mathbf{z}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 0 < \beta < d/4.\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The proof of this lemma can be seen in Stein (1970, p.117), and Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014).

Proposition 1 *For* $0 < \alpha < d/2$, *the following identities hold:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2, \mathcal{D})|^2 \frac{d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2}{(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\|\,\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|)^{d-\alpha}} = \left[\frac{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\nu(\alpha)}{|\mathcal{D}|}\right]^2 = \frac{[\nu(\alpha)]^2 Tr(\mathcal{K}_\alpha^2)}{|\mathcal{D}|^2} < \infty, \tag{49}$$

where $a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})$ *is defined in (25),* $\nu(\alpha)$ *is introduced in equation (46), and* K *is the characteristic function of the uniform distribution over set* $\mathcal{D}$*, given by*

$$K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathcal{D}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} p_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})\, d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\vartheta(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{|\mathcal{D}|}, \tag{50}$$

with associated probability density function $p_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = 1/|\mathcal{D}|$ *if* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$*, and* 0 *otherwise.*

Remark 2 *Note that for* $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d;\ \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}$*, the function* $\vartheta(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *in (50) is of the form:*

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0})} \exp(\mathrm{i}\langle \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle)\, d\mathbf{x} = (2\pi)^{d/2} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{d/2}(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{d/2}}, \quad d \geq 2,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\nu(\mathbf{z})$ *is the Bessel function of the first kind and order* $\nu > -1/2$*. For a rectangle,* $\mathcal{D} = \prod = \{a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i,\ i = 1, \dots, d\}, \quad \mathbf{0} \in \prod,$

$$\vartheta(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\exp(\mathrm{i}\lambda_j b_j) - \exp(\mathrm{i}\lambda_j a_j))/\mathrm{i}\lambda_j, \quad d \geq 1.$$

(see, for example, Leonenko and Olenko, 2014).

Theorem 3 *Assume that* ***Conditions A2-A3*** *hold,* $0 < \alpha < d/2$*, and that* $\mathcal{L} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{LC}}$*. Consider* $S_T^{\chi_r^2}$ *be the functional (31), given in terms of the integral of functional* F *of the chi-squared random field with Laguerre rank equal to one. As* $T \to \infty$*, the limiting distribution* $S_\infty^{\chi_r^2}$ *of* $S_T^{\chi_r^2}$*, with characteristic function (32), admits the following double Wiener-Itô stochastic integral representation:*

$$S_\infty^{\chi_r^2} = -\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{\nu(\alpha)\sqrt{2r}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) \frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\, Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\|^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|^{\frac{d-\alpha}{2}}} \tag{51}$$

where Z_j*,* $j = 1, \dots, r$*, are independent Gaussian white noise measures,* ν *is defined in (46), and the notation* $\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}$ *means that one does not integrate on the hyperdiagonals* $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 = \pm\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2$*. Here,*

$$H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) = K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2, \mathcal{D}), \tag{52}$$

where $K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathcal{D})$ *is defined in (50).*

The proofs of Proposition 1 and Theorem 3 can be derived as in Theorem 4.1 by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), since from Theorems 1 and 2, Theorem 3 holds for the functional $S_{1,T}$ given by (see (34))

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,T} &= \frac{1}{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}(T)T^{d-\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_1^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{a_{d,1}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}(T)T^{d-\alpha}}\right] \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} H_2(Y_j(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

We now turn to the case $k = 2$.

Proposition 2 *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a regular compact set and let $K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathcal{D})$ be defined in (50). For $0 < \alpha < d/4$, the following identities hold:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |K(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_3 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_4, \mathcal{D})|^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}{\prod_{i=1}^4 (\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|)^{d-\alpha}} = \frac{[a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2[\nu(\alpha)]^4}{|\mathcal{D}|^2} < \infty, \tag{54}$$

where $a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})$ is defined as in equation (25) for $k = 2$, and $\nu(\alpha)$ is introduced in equation (46).

Proof. The proof follows from the application of Theorem 3.1 in Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), where the asymptotic spectral properties of operator $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ in equation (37), on a Dirichlet regular compact domain $\mathcal{D}$, are established. Let us now consider the following norm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^2_{(-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}} &= \left\langle (-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}(f), f \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}(f)(\mathbf{x})\overline{f(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\nu(d-4\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{4\alpha}} f(\mathbf{y})\overline{f(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\boldsymbol{\lambda})|^2 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{-(d-4\alpha)} d\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 0 < \alpha < d/4.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d} = \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_{(-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}}}$ is the Hilbert space of the functions of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{(-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\nu(d-4\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{4\alpha}} f(\mathbf{y})\overline{g(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{56}$$

and the associated norm (55). Here, $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_{(-\Delta)^{2\alpha-d/2}}}$ denotes the closure of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the norm (55). Note that Equations (55) and (56) can be extended to the space $\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}$ by continuity of the norm. In particular,

$$\|1_{\mathcal{D}}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\nu(d-4\alpha)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{4\alpha}} d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x} = \frac{[a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2}{\nu(d-4\alpha)}. \tag{57}$$

As noted before, from Theorem 3.1 by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014),

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{K}_\alpha^2) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2\alpha}} d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < d/2. \tag{58}$$

Thus, for $\alpha = 2\beta$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{4\beta}} d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x} < \infty, \quad 0 < \beta < d/4.$$

Therefore,

$$[a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2 = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{4\alpha}} d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x} = \nu(d-4\alpha)\|1_{\mathcal{D}}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < d/4.$$

Equivalently, $1_{\mathcal{D}}$ belongs to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}$, for $0 < \alpha < d/4$.

Applying the convolution formula (48) in Lemma 2, we then obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{[a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2}{\nu(d-4\alpha)} &= \|1_{\mathcal{D}}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}} = \frac{|\mathcal{D}|^2}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1,\mathcal{D})|^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_1\|^{-d+4\alpha} d\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \\
&= \frac{|\mathcal{D}|^2}{(2\pi)^d}\frac{\nu(4\alpha)}{[\nu(\alpha)]^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |K(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1,\mathcal{D})|^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}_1-\boldsymbol{\omega}_2\|^{-d+\alpha}\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_2-\boldsymbol{\omega}_3\|^{-d+\alpha}\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\times\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_3-\boldsymbol{\omega}_4\|^{-d+\alpha}\|\boldsymbol{\omega}_4\|^{-d+\alpha}\prod_{i=2}^{4} d\boldsymbol{\omega}_i\right] d\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \\
&= \frac{|\mathcal{D}|^2\nu(4\alpha)}{(2\pi)^d[\nu(\alpha)]^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \left|K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right|^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{d-\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
[a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})]^2 = \frac{|\mathcal{D}|^2}{[\nu(\alpha)]^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \left|K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right|^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{d-\alpha}},
$$

since $\frac{\nu(4\alpha)\nu(d-4\alpha)}{(2\pi)^d} = 1$. Equation (54) then holds.
Note that by continuity of the norm in $\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}$

$$
1_{\mathcal{D}} \star 1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{y})1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} 1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} \in L^2(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d},
$$

since

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{y})1_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}\right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \left|\mathcal{B}_{R(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{0})\right|^3,
$$

where $|\mathcal{B}_{R(\mathcal{D})}(\mathbf{0})|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the ball of center $\mathbf{0}$ and radius $R(\mathcal{D})$, with $R(\mathcal{D})$ being equal to two times the diameter of the regular compact set $\mathcal{D}$ containing the point $\mathbf{0}$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(1_{\mathcal{D}} \star 1_{\mathcal{D}})(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = |\mathcal{D}|^2|K(\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathcal{D})|^2$ belongs to the space of Fourier transforms of functions in $\mathcal{H}_{4\alpha-d}$ (see also Remark 3.1 by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu, 2014).

■

Theorem 2 provided the limit of (30) involving $e_1^{r/2}$. The next theorem provides the limit of (44), involving $e_2^{r/2}$. Note that $e_2^{r/2}$ is defined in (10), but also satisfies (61) below.

Theorem 4 *Assume that* ***Conditions A2-A3*** *hold, and that* $\mathcal{L} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{LC}}$. *Then, for* $0 < \alpha < d/4$, *the functional* $S_{2,T}$ *defined in (44) converges in distribution to the random variable* S_∞ *admitting the following multiple Wiener-Itô stochastic integral representation:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\infty &\underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2} \\
&\times\left[\sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}^{\prime}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}^{\prime} K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right. \\
&\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}^{\prime\prime} K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

where the random measures $Z_j(\cdot)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are independent Wiener measures. $K(\cdot, \mathcal{D})$ is the characteristic function of the uniform distribution over the set $\mathcal{D}$. The stochastic integrals $\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}$ appearing in the first sum of (59) are defined as mean square integrals, in which integration is excluded over hyperdiagonals $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 = \pm\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2$, and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3 = \pm\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4$, related to each component Z_j and Z_k (see Fox and Taqqu, 1985). In the second sum, $\int''_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}$ means that one can not integrate on the hyperdiagonals $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i = \pm\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Proof.

The restriction to $\mathcal{D}(T)$ of the independent copies Y_j, $j = 1, \dots, r$, of Gaussian random field Y, i.e., $\{Y_j(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}(T),\ j = 1, \dots, r\}$, satisfying **Conditions A2–A3**, admit the following stochastic integral representation:

$$Y_j(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{|\mathcal{D}(T)|}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\langle \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\right) K\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathcal{D}(T)\right) f_0^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}(T), \quad j = 1, \dots, r. \tag{60}$$

It is well-known (see, for example, Anh and Leonenko, 1999) that

$$\begin{aligned} e_2^{(r/2)}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})) &= \frac{1}{4}\left(r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right)^{-1/2} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{k,j=1,\ k\neq j}^{r} H_2(Y_k(\mathbf{x}))H_2(Y_j(\mathbf{x})) - \sum_{k=1}^{r} H_4(Y_k(\mathbf{x}))\right], \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where, as before, $\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x})$ is the chi-squared random field introduced in (16), and $e_2^{(r/2)}$ denotes the second Laguerre polynomial with index $r/2$ (see Bateman and Erdelyi, 1953, Chapter 10). Here, $H_2(u) = u^2 - 1$ is the second Chebyshev-Hermite polynomial, and $H_4(u) = u^4 - 6u^2 + 3$ is the fourth Chebyshev-Hermite polynomial.

From equation (61), the functional (44) admits the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2,T} &= \frac{1}{a_{d,2}^{\chi_r^2}(\mathcal{D})\mathcal{L}^2(T)T^{d-2\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} e_2^{r/2}(\chi_r^2(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left(r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{d_T} \left[\sum_{k,j=1,\ k\neq j}^{r} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} H_2(Y_k(\mathbf{x}))H_2(Y_j(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x} \right. \\ & \left. -\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{\mathcal{D}(T)} H_4(Y_k(\mathbf{x}))d\mathbf{x}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Using Itô's formula (see, for example, Dobrushin and Major, 1979; Major, 1981), we obtain from equation (62)

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{2,T} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right)^{-1/2}\frac{1}{d_T}\left[\sum_{k,j=1,\ k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\exp\left(\left\langle \mathbf{x},\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\right\rangle\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|)}\prod_{i=1}^{2}Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\prod_{i=3}^{4}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\\
&\quad\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathcal{D}(T)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}''\exp\left(\left\langle \mathbf{x},\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\right\rangle\right)\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|)}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right)^{-1/2}\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{[\nu(\alpha)]^2 d_T}\left[\sum_{k,j=1,\ k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left([\nu(\alpha)]^2\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|/T)}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{2}Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\prod_{i=3}^{4}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\\
&\quad\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}''K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\left([\nu(\alpha)]^2\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|/T)}\right)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{63}$$

Hence, applying Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[S_{2,T}-\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\left[\sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\left.\times\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}''K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{4}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right]\right]^2\\
&=\left[\frac{1}{4}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\right]^2 E\left[Y_{1T}-Y_1+Y_2-Y_{2T}\right]^2\\
&\leq\left[\frac{1}{4}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\right]^2\left[\left(E\left[Y_{1T}-Y_1\right]^2\right)^{1/2}+\left(E\left[Y_2-Y_{2T}\right]^2\right)^{1/2}\right]^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{64}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[Y_{1T}-Y_1\right]^2 &= \sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r} E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}' K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\left[\frac{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}{d_T}\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|/T)}\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\left.-\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right] Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\right|^2\\
&= \sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}\left|K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right|^2 Q_T(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\prod_{i=1}^{4}\frac{d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{d-\alpha}}\\
E\left[Y_2-Y_{2T}\right]^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{r} E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}'' K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\left[\frac{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}{d_T}\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|/T)}-\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right]\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\times Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\right|^2\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}\left|K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right|^2 Q_T(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\prod_{i=1}^{4}\frac{d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{d-\alpha}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{65}
$$

with

$$
Q_T=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}\frac{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}{d_T}\prod_{i=1}^{4}\sqrt{f_0(\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|/T)}-1\right)^2.
$$

The convergence to zero of Q_T, as $T\to\infty$, can be proved as in Theorem 4.1(ii) in Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014) (see also Leonenko and Olenko, 2013). Hence, from equations (64) and (65), as $T\to\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\left[S_{2,T}-\frac{1}{4}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[\sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}'' K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\right.\right.\\
&\quad\left.\left.\times\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}}'' K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{4}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right]\right]^2
\end{aligned}
$$

converges to zero, which implies the convergence in probability and hence, in distribution sense, as we wanted to prove. ■

6 Series representation in terms of independent random variables

We provide here series representations for the limit random variable $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$ obtained when the Laguerre rank equals one, and for the random variable S_∞ obtained when the Laguerre rank equals two.

Theorem 5 *Assume that the conditions of Propositions 1, 2 and Theorems 3 and 4 hold.*

(i) For the case of Laguerre rank equal to one, the limit random variable $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$ in Theorem 3 admits the following series representation:

$$S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2} \underset{d}{=} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r\nu(\alpha)}}|\mathcal{D}|\sum_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}})(\varepsilon_{jn}^2-1) = \sum_{j=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})(\varepsilon_{jn}^2-1), \tag{66}$$

where $\nu(\alpha)$ is given in (46), $\{\varepsilon_{jn},\ n\geq 1,\ j=1,\dots,r\}$ are independent and identically distributed standard Gaussian random variables, and

$$\lambda_n(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r\nu(\alpha)}}|\mathcal{D}|\mu_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}),$$

with $\mu_n(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}})$, $n\geq 1$, being a decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers, which are the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(h)(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_1\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1-\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\right)h\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\right)G_{\alpha}(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) : L^2_{G_{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \longrightarrow L^2_{G_{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right), \tag{67}$$

being

$$G_{\alpha}(d\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{d-\alpha}}d\mathbf{x}. \tag{68}$$

Here, the symmetric kernel $H_1\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1-\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\right) = H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) = K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)$, with K being as before the characteristic function of the uniform distribution over the set $\mathcal{D}$.

(ii) For the case of Laguerre rank equal to two, the limit random variable S_{∞} in Theorem 4 admits the following series representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S_{\infty}}{\frac{1}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}|\mathcal{D}|} &\underset{d}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn} \\ &\times\left[\sum_{k,j:k\neq j}^{r}(\varepsilon_{j,p,n}^2-1)(\varepsilon_{k,q,n}^2-1)-\sum_{k=1}^{r}(\varepsilon_{k,p,n}^2-1)(\varepsilon_{k,q,n}^2-1)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{j,p,n},\ j=1,\dots,r,\ p\geq 1,\ n\geq 1\}$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables, in particular, $E[\varepsilon_{j,p,n}\varepsilon_{k,q,m}] = \delta_{n,m}\delta_{p,q}\delta_{j,k}$, for every $j,k=1,\dots,r$, and $n,m,q,p\geq 1$. Here, $\mu_n(\mathcal{H})$, $n\geq 1$, are the eigenvalues, arranged in decreasing order of their modulus magnitude, associated with the eigenvectors φ_n, $n\geq 1$, of the integral operator $\mathcal{H} : L^2_{G_{\alpha}\otimes G_{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right) \longrightarrow L^2_{G_{\alpha}\otimes G_{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$ given by, for all $h\in L^2_{G_{\alpha}\otimes G_{\alpha}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$,

$$\mathcal{H}(h)(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)h\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4\right)G_{\alpha}(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)G_{\alpha}(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4). \tag{70}$$

Additionally, for each $n\geq 1$, γ_{jn}, $j\geq 1$, are the eigenvalues, arranged in decreasing order of their modulus magnitude, associated with the integral operator on $L^2_{G_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by kernel $\varphi_n(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Proof. The proof of (i) can be derived as in Corollary 4.1 (see Appendix A) in Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014).

(ii) From Proposition 2, the operator defined in (70) is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Equivalently, the kernel

$$H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)=K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)$$

belongs to the space $L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4d}\right)$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}\in\mathcal{S}\left(L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)\right)$, where as usual $\mathcal{S}(H)$ denotes the Hilbert space of Hilbert Schmidt operators on the Hilbert space H. Hence, it admits a kernel spectral representation in terms of a sequence of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n,\ n\geq 1\}\subset L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$, and a sequence of associated eigenvalues $\{\mu_n(\mathcal{H}),\ n\geq 1\}$. That is,

$$H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4). \tag{71}$$

In particular, since, for every $n\geq 1$, $\varphi_n\in L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$, then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}|\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)|^2\frac{d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1\|^{d-\alpha}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|^{d-\alpha}}<\infty,$$

which means that $\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)$ defines an integral Hilbert-Schmidt operator Υ on $L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, given by

$$\Upsilon(f)(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2),\quad \forall f\in L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Therefore, it admits a spectral kernel representation in $L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, in terms of a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\gamma_{pn},\ p\geq 1\}$, and an orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_{pn},\ p\geq 1\}$ of $L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, of the form

$$\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\underset{L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}{=}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{pn}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2), \tag{72}$$

for each $n\geq 1$, where convergence holds in the norm of the space $L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Replacing in equation (71) the functions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by their respective series representations as given in equation (72), we obtain

$$H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\underset{L^2_{\otimes^4 G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{4d})}{=}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4), \tag{73}$$

where convergence holds in the norm of the space $L^2_{\otimes^4 G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{4d}):=L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4d}\right)$, since, from equations (71)–(72), considering Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| H(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\phi_{pn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{pn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{qn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{qn}(\cdot)\right\|^2_{L^2_{\otimes^4 G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{4d})} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\varphi_n(\cdot,\cdot)\otimes\varphi_n(\cdot,\cdot)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\phi_{pn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{pn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{qn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{qn}(\cdot)\right\|^2_{L^2_{\otimes^4 G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{4d})} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\left|\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\right|^2 G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)\right]^{1/2}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\left|\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{pn}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\right|^2 G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\right]^{1/2}\right]^2 = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}
$$

where we have applied convergence in $L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ of the series $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{qn}\phi_{qn}(\cdot)\otimes\phi_{qn}(\cdot)$ to the function $\varphi_n(\cdot,\cdot)$, for each $n\geq 1$, which, in particular, implies that such a series differs from $\varphi_n(\cdot,\cdot)$ in a set of null $G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha$-measure.

From Theorem 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\infty \underset{d}{=} \frac{1}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}|\mathcal{D}|\Bigg[\sum_{k,j;k\neq j}^{r}\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right) \\
\times\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3)Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}} \\
-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int''_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{4}Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{4}\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $H(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_3,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_4) = K\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i,\mathcal{D}\right)$ by its series representation (73) in the above equation, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_\infty \underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\Bigg[\sum_{k,j:k\neq j}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}} \\
\times\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\prod_{i=3}^{4}\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{r}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn} \\
\times\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\int'_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\prod_{i=3}^{4}\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\left[\sum_{k,j:k\neq j}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\prod_{i=1}^{2}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right.\\
&\qquad\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\prod_{i=3}^{4}\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}-\sum_{k=1}^{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\prod_{i=1}^{2}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}'\prod_{i=3}^{4}\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i)}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying It$\hat{o}$'s formula (see, for example, Dobrushin and Major, 1979; Major, 1981),

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\infty} &\underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\\
&\times\left[\sum_{k,j:k\neq j}^{r}H_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}'\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\frac{Z_j(d\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right)H_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}'\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right)\right.\\
&\left.-\sum_{k=1}^{r}H_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}'\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right)H_2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}'\phi_{qn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\frac{Z_k(d\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\|^{(d-\alpha)/2}}\right)\right] \underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\\
&\times\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\left[\sum_{k,j:k\neq j}^{r}(\varepsilon_{j,p,n}^2-1)(\varepsilon_{k,q,n}^2-1)-\sum_{k=1}^{r}(\varepsilon_{k,p,n}^2-1)(\varepsilon_{k,q,n}^2-1)\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{75}
$$

as we wanted to prove.

In addition, the orthonormality of the systems of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n,\ n\geq 1\}$, in the Hilbert space $L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, means that

$$
\langle\varphi_n,\varphi_k\rangle_{L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\varphi_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\varphi_k(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)=\delta_{n,k}, \tag{76}
$$

where, as before $\delta_{n,k}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. Replacing φ_n and φ_k in (76) by its series representation (72) in $L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\varphi_n,\varphi_k\rangle_{L^2_{G_\alpha\otimes G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}=\delta_{n,k}\\
&=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qk}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\phi_{qk}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1)\right]\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\phi_{pn}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\phi_{qk}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)G_\alpha(d\boldsymbol{\lambda}_2)\right]\\
&=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qk}\left[\left\langle\phi_{pn},\phi_{qk}\right\rangle_{L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right]^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{77}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\langle\phi_{pn},\phi_{qk}\right\rangle_{L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)}=0,\quad n\neq k,\quad \forall p,q\geq 1, \tag{78}
$$

and we know that

$$\left\langle \phi_{pn}, \phi_{qk} \right\rangle_{L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \delta_{p,q}, \quad n = k, \tag{79}$$

from the orthonormality of the system of eigenfunctions providing the diagonal spectral representation (72) of φ_n, for each $n \geq 1$. Hence, in addition, from (77) and (79), we have

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{pn}^2 = 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Thus, from equations (75)–(79), and from the independence of the Gaussian copies $Y_i(\cdot)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, of random field $Y(\cdot)$, we obtain $E[\varepsilon_{j,q,n}\varepsilon_{k,p,m}] = \delta_{n,m}\delta_{p,q}\delta_{k,j}$, for every $j, k = 1, \dots, r$, and $n, m, q, p \geq 1$.

■

Corollary 1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 5, for Laguerre rank equal to two,*

$$\begin{aligned} S_\infty &\underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\boldsymbol{\eta}_n \\ &\underset{d}{=} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{4[\nu(\alpha)]^2}\left[r\left(\frac{r}{2}+1\right)\right]^{-1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n(\mathcal{H})\left[\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\gamma_{pn}\gamma_{qn}\mathbf{1}^T\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p,n}\otimes\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{q,n} - \mathit{Trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p,n}\otimes\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{q,n}\right)\right)\mathbf{1} - \mathit{Trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p,n}\otimes\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{q,n}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where $\mathbf{1}^T$ and $\mathbf{1}$ respectively are $1 \times r$ and $r \times 1$ vectors with entries equal to one. For $p, n \geq 1$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p,n}$ denotes a $r \times 1$ random vector with entries $\varepsilon_{i,p,n}^2 - 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\otimes$ denotes the tensorial product of vectors, and Trace($\mathbf{A}$) the trace of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$. Thus, S_∞ admits an infinite series representation in terms of the sequence of independent random variables $\{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n,\ n \geq 1\}$ given in (80).

The $\{\boldsymbol{\eta}_n,\ n \geq 1\}$ are independent because, for each $n \geq 1$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_n$ is a function of random variables $\{(\varepsilon_{i,p,n}^2 - 1),\ i = 1, \dots, r,\ p \geq 1\}$ and, as follows from their definition in equation (75), for $n \neq k$, with $n, k \geq 1$, $\{(\varepsilon_{i,p,n}^2 - 1),\ i = 1, \dots, r,\ p \geq 1\}$ and $\{(\varepsilon_{i,q,k}^2 - 1),\ i = 1, \dots, r,\ q \geq 1\}$ are mutually independent, since the function sequences $\{\phi_{pn}\}_{p\geq 1}$ and $\{\phi_{qk}\}_{q\geq 1}$ are orthogonal in the space $L^2_{G_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see equation (78)).

7 Infinite divisibility

When the Laguerre rank equals one, $S_\infty^{\chi_r^2}$ is infinitely divisible. Theorem 5(i) allows the derivation of its Lévy-Khintchine representation. It is given by

Theorem 6 *Under the conditions of Proposition 1 and Theorem 3,*

$$\phi(\theta) = E\left[\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\theta S_\infty^{\chi_r^2}\right)\right] = \exp\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\exp(\mathrm{i}u\theta) - 1 - \mathrm{i}u\theta\right)\mu_{\alpha/d}(du)\right), \tag{81}$$

where $\mu_{\alpha/d}$ *is supported on* $(0,\infty)$ *having density*

$$q_{\alpha/d}(u)=\frac{r}{2u}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{u}{2\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})}\right),\quad u>0. \tag{82}$$

Furthermore, $q_{\alpha/d}$ *has the following asymptotics as* $u\longrightarrow 0^+$ *and* $u\longrightarrow\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\alpha/d}(u) &\sim \frac{[\widetilde{c}(d,\alpha)|\mathcal{D}|^{(d-\alpha)/d}]^{1/(1-\alpha/d)}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/d}\right)\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{-1/(1-\alpha/d)}}{2u[(1-\alpha/d)]} \\ &= \frac{2^{\frac{\alpha/d}{1-\alpha/d}}[\widetilde{c}(d,\alpha)|\mathcal{D}|^{(d-\alpha)/d}]^{1/(1-\alpha/d)}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/d}\right)u^{\frac{(\alpha/d)-2}{(1-\alpha/d)}}}{[(1-\alpha/d)]}\quad \textit{as } u\longrightarrow 0^+, \\ q_{\alpha/d}(u) &\sim \frac{r}{2u}\exp(-u/2\lambda_1(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})),\quad \textit{as } u\longrightarrow\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where

$$\widetilde{c}(d,\alpha)=\pi^{\alpha/2}\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)^{(d-\alpha)/d}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\right]^{(d-\alpha)/d}}.$$

Proof. Let us first consider a truncated version of the random series representation (66)

$$S_{\infty}^{(M)}=\sum_{l=1}^{r}\sum_{k=1}^{M}\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})(\varepsilon_{lk}^2-1),$$

with $S_{\infty}^{M}\underset{d}{\longrightarrow}S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$, as M tends to infinity. From the Lévy-Khintchine representation of the chi-square distribution (see, for instance, Applebaum, 2004, Example 1.3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta S_{\infty}^{(M)})\right] &= \prod_{l=1}^{r}\prod_{k=1}^{M}E\left[\exp\left(\mathrm{i}\theta\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})(\varepsilon_{lk}^2-1)\right)\right] \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^{r}\prod_{k=1}^{M}\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\theta\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})+\int_0^{\infty}(\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta u)-1)\left[\frac{\exp\left(-u/(2\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}))\right)}{2u}\right]du\right) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{M}\exp\left(r\int_0^{\infty}(\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta u)-1-\mathrm{i}\theta u)\left[\frac{\exp(-u/2\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}))}{2u}\right]du\right) \\ &= \exp\left(r\int_0^{\infty}(\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta u)-1-\mathrm{i}\theta u)\left[\frac{1}{2u}G_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}^{(M)}(\exp(-u/2))\right]du\right). \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

To apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem, the following upper bound is used:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta u)-1-\mathrm{i}\theta u)\left[\frac{r}{2u}G_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}^{(M)}(\exp(-u/2))\right]\right| &\le \frac{r\theta^2}{4}uG_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}^{(M)}(\exp(-u/2)) \\ &\le \frac{r\theta^2}{4}uG_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}(\exp(-u/2)), \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

where, as indicated in Veillette and Taqqu (2013), we have applied the inequality $|\exp(\mathrm{i}z) - 1 - z| \leq \frac{z^2}{2}$, for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. The right-hand side of (85) is continuous, for $0 < u < \infty$, and from Lemma 4.1 of Veillette and Taqqu (2013) with $G_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}^{(M)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} x^{[\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})]^{-1}}$, keeping in mind the asymptotic order of eigenvalues of operator $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ (see, for example, Theorem 3.1(i) by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu, 2014), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&uG_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}\left(\exp(-u/2)\right) \sim u\exp(-u/2\lambda_1(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})), \quad \text{as } u \longrightarrow \infty \\
&uG_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}\left(\exp(-u/2)\right) \sim [\widetilde{c}(d,\alpha)|D|^{1-\alpha/d}]^{1/1-\alpha/d}\frac{u}{(1-\alpha/d)} \\
&\times\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/d}\right)(1-\exp(-u/2))^{-1/(1-\alpha/d)} \sim Cu^{-\frac{\alpha/d}{1-\alpha/d}} \quad \text{as } u \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

for some constant C. Since $0 < \frac{\alpha/d}{1-\alpha/d} < 1$, the right-hand side of (86), which does not depend on M, is integrable on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta S_{\infty}^{(M)})\right] \longrightarrow E\left[\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})\right] \\
&= \exp\left(\int_0^{\infty}(\exp(\mathrm{i}\theta u) - 1 - \mathrm{i}\theta u)\left[\frac{r}{2u}G_{\lambda(\alpha/d)}\left(\exp(-u/2)\right)\right]du\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

which proves that equations (81) and (82) hold. Equation (83) follows, in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 5.1(i) in Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), considering the expression obtained by the Lévy density q in equation (82). ■

From the above equations, in a similar way as in Theorem 5.1(ii)-(iv) by Leonenko, Ruiz-Medina and Taqqu (2014), it can be seen that $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2} \in \mathcal{ID}(\mathbb{R})$ is selfdecomposable. Hence, it has a bounded density. It can also be showed that $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$ is in the Thorin class with Thorin measure

$$U(dx) = \frac{r}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\delta_{\frac{1}{2\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})}}(x),$$

where $\delta_a(x)$ is the Dirac delta-function at point a. Finally, $S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2}$ admits the integral representation

$$S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2} \underset{d}{=} \int_0^{\infty}\exp(-u)\,d\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})A^{(k)}(u)\right) \underset{d}{=} \int_0^{\infty}\exp(-u)\,dZ(u), \tag{88}$$

where

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k(S_{\infty}^{\chi_r^2})A^{(k)}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{89}$$

with $A^{(k)}$, $k \geq 1$, being independent copies of a Lévy process.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by project MTM2012-32674 (co-funded with FEDER) of the DGI, MEC, Spain. Murad Taqqu was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS–1309009 at Boston University.

References

Anh, V.V., Leonenko, N.N., 1999. Non-Gaussian scenarios for the heat equation with singular initial conditions. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **84**, 91–114.

Anh, V.V., Leonenko, N.N., Ruiz-Medina, M.D., 2013. Macroscaling limit theorems for filtered spatiotemporal random fields. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* **31**, 460–508.

Applebaum, D., 2004. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK

Bateman, H., Erdelyi, A., 1953. *Higher Transcenental Functions*, Vol. II. McGraw-Hill, New York.

Berman, S.M., 1982. Local times of stochastic processes with positive definite bivariate densities. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **12**, 1–26.

Berman, S., 1984. Sojourns of vector Gaussian processes inside and outside spheres. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **66**, 529–542.

Brelot, M., 1960. *Lectures on Potential Theory.* Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.

Chen, Z.-Q. , Meerschaert, M.M., Nane, E., 2012. Spacetime fractional diffusion on bounded domains. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **393**, 479–488.

Dautray, R., Lions, J.L., 1985. *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Tecnology*, Vol 3. *Spectral Methods and Applications.* Springer, New York.

Dobrushin, R.L., Major, P., 1979. Non-central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fields. *Z. Wahrsch. Revw. Gebiete* **50**, 1–28.

Fuglede, B. 2005. Dirichlet problems for harmonic maps from regular domains. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **91**, 249–272.

Fox, R., Taqqu, M.S., 1985. Noncentral limit theorems for quadratic forms in random variables having long-range dependence. *Ann. Probab.* **13**, 428–446.

Gajek, L., Mielniczuk, J., 1999. Long- and short-range dependent sequences under exponential subordination. *Statist. Probab. Letters* **43**, 113–121.

Joe, H., 1997. *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts.* Chapman and Hall, London.

Lancaster, H.O., 1958. The structure of bivariate distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **29**, 719–736.

Lancaster, H.O., 1963. Correlations and canonical forms of bivariate distributions. *Ann. Math. Statistics* **34**, 532–538.

Leonenko, N.N., 1999. *Limit Theorems for Random Fields with Singular Spectrum.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Leonenko, N., Olenko, A., 2013. Tauberian and Abelian theorems for long-range dependent random fields. *Methodlogy and Computing in Applied Probability* **15**, 715–742.

Leonenko N. and Olenko, A., 2014. Sojourn measures for Student and Fisher-Snedecor random fields. *Bernoulli* **20**, 1454–1483.

Leonenko, N.N., Ruiz-Medina, M.D., Taqqu, M., 2014. Rosenblatt distribution subordinated to gaussian random fields with long-range dependence, arXiv:submit/1156097.

Lukacs, E., 1970. *Characteristic Functions* (Second ed.). Griffin, London.

Major, P., 1981. *Multiple Wiener Itô Integrals. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* Vol. 849. Springer, Berlin.

Mielniczuk, J., 2000. Some properties of random stationary sequences with bivariate densities having diogonal expansions and parametric estimations based on them. *Nonparametric Statistics* **12**, 223–243.

Sarmanov, O.V., 1963. Investigation of stationary Markov processes by the method of eigenfunction expansion. *Translated in Selected Translations in Mathematical Statistics and Probability Theory, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence* **4**, 245–269.

Simon, B., 2005. *Trace Ideals and Their Applications. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 120. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS).

Stein, E.M., 1970. *Sigular Integrals and Differential Properties of Functions.* Princenton, University Press, New Jersey.

Taqqu, M.S., 1975. Weak-convergence to fractional Brownian motion and to the Rosenblatt process. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **31**, 287–302.

Taqqu, M.S., 1979. Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **50**, 53–83.

Veillette, M.S. and Taqqu, M.S., 2013. Properties and numerical evaluation of Rosenblatt distribution. *Bernoulli* **19**, 982–1005

Wong, E., Thomas, J.B., 1962. On polynomial expansion of second order distributions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **10**, 507–516.

N. N. Leonenko
Cardiff School of Mathematics, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff CF24 4AG, United Kingdom
E-mail: LeonenkoN@cardiff.ac.uk

M. D. Ruiz-Medina
Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Granada, Campus de Fuente Nueva s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain.
E-mail: mruiz@ugr.es

M. S. Taqqu
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 111 Cummington St., Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
E-mail: murad@bu.edu